

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,553	04/04/2002	Kunihiro Ichimura	IKE-C186	4733
7	590 09/03/2004		EXAMINER	
Lorusso & Loud 3137 Mount Vernon Avenue			SCHILLING, RICHARD L	
Alexandria, V			ART UNIT PAPER NUM	
			1752	
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/018,553	ICHIMURA ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Richard L Schilling	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
_						
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 August 2004</u>.</li> <li>2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>2b) This action is non-final</li> </ul>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
one stands and subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 December 2001</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit 1752

- 1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. The term "to newly generate . . ." in claim 1 is indefinite without specifying what is generated. Also, there is no antecedent basis for the term "transparent, colored resin composition" in claim 7 since parent claim 1 does not set forth a resin.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent Publication 654,611 in view of the combination of Grasshoff et al., Borror et al. and Busman et al. for the same reasons as set forth in item 1 of the first Office action filed February 10, 2004. Applicants' argument that Grasshoff et al. relates to color formation with dyes and not with pigments as in the European patent publication is unconvincing. Grasshoff et al. (and Busman et al.) teach the use of secondary acid generators or acid proliferation agents to increase sensitivity of photoacids by generating more acid in various imaging systems with compounds capable of reacting with the acids. The acid sensitive pigments of the European patent publication are not particularly disclosed in Grasshoff et al. or However, Grasshoff et al. by reference to Borror does disclose cleaving off blocked groups from nitrogen atoms of

Art Unit 1752

organic dyes the same as the blocked groups on the nitrogen atoms of the organic pigments of the European patent publication. would be obvious to one skilled in the art to increase the sensitivity of the photoacid compositions of the European patent publication by using secondary acid generators or acid proliferation agents as in Grasshoff et al. and Busman et al. to amplify the effects of the photoacids in the compositions of the European patent publication. One skilled in the art would reasonably expect that the secondary acid generators or acid proliferation agents of Grasshoff et al. and Busman et al. would increase acid generation in the European patent publication as they are disclosed as doing in Grasshoff et al. for various different photoacid containing elements, e.g. color change or polymerization elements groups. The result of acceleration of deprotecting the pigments by using an acid proliferation disclosed on page 6, lines 9-16, of applicants' specification would be expected by one skilled in the art from the teachings of Grasshoff et al. and Busman et al. of increased acid production using acid proliferation agents that release multiple molecules of acid for each acid molecule released from the photoacids.

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the

Art Unit 1752

shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mr. Schilling at telephone number (571) 272-1335.

RLSchilling:cdc

August 24, 2004

RICHARD L. SCHILLING PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1109 /752